

REMARKS

By the foregoing amendment, claims 14, 16, 104, and 105 have been amended to correct grammatical and/or typographical errors which appear to have been inadvertently introduced by the Examiner in the Examiner's Amendment included with the Notice of Allowability. It is noted that the errors introduced by the Examiner's Amendment appear inadvertent since those modifications to the claims, which are hereby being corrected, were not indicated as being amended by underlining and/or bracketing in the Examiner's Amendment. Moreover, Applicants did not authorize these particular modifications to the claims. Thus, the present amendment merely embodies the correction of formal matters with regard to the claims.

In particular, with regard to claims 14 and 16, each claim has been corrected (beginning in line 9) to recite "are aliphatic amino acid residues" instead of "are aliphatic amino acid residue." Claim 16 has been further amended and claim 105 has been amended (in line 1 of each claim) to correctly recite "[a] method of reducing an anaphylactic reaction" as opposed to "[a] method of reducing anaphylactic reaction." Claims 104 and 105 have also been corrected (in line 5 of each claim) to properly recite "X² is any acidic or aliphatic amino acid" as opposed to "X² is any aliphatic amino acid." Finally, each of claims 14, 16, 104, and 105 have been amended (on line 6 of each claim) to correctly recite "R¹" as opposed to "R1."

No new matter has been added by the present amendment. Furthermore, no additional search or examination is required by the present amendment because such claim

amendments merely place the claims in the format as previously presented and examined.

See Amendment and Reply filed July 11, 2002.

Applicants also request that a corrected Notice of Allowability and Examiner's Amendment be issued for the following reasons. First, the Notice of Allowability fails to include claim 50 as being allowed. Second, despite Applicants express authorization (via telephone on October 31, 2002) to cancel non-elected claims 13, 39-49, and 64-89 via Examiner's Amendment, the cancellation of non-elected claims 13, 39-49, and 64-89 is not reflected in the Examiner's Amendment attached to the Notice of Allowability or otherwise in the record. Therefore, to clarify the record, the Examiner is respectfully requested to issue a corrected Notice of Allowability and Examiner's Amendment.

In the event that there are any questions concerning this Amendment After Allowance Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 And Request For Corrected Notice of Allowability

And Examiner's Amendment or the application in general, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned so that issuance of the application may be expedited.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: November 25, 2003

By: _____

Susan M. Dadio

Registration No. 40,373

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620